



APTAKISIC ROAD

Stakeholder Involvement Group Meeting # 2 Summary April 25, 2013

The second Stakeholder Involvement Group (SIG) meeting for the Aptakasic Road Phase I Study was held on Thursday, April 25, 2013 from 6:00 to 8:00 P.M. at the Buffalo Grove Village Hall, 50 Raupp Boulevard, Buffalo Grove, IL 60089. The meeting consisted of a PowerPoint presentation that included an introduction of the project study team and SIG members, a recap of the first SIG meeting, a review of the project Problem Statement, and an overview of the alternatives development process. Attendees also took part in a workshop in which they worked collectively to document the pros and cons of each element in the Problem Statement, for the three lane, and five lane alternatives. A total of 23 stakeholders were invited, and the meeting was attended by **8 SIG members**.

To announce the April 25, 2013 SIG Meeting #2, the following email invitation was created and sent on April 4, 2013, an RSVP reminder was sent out on April 19, 2013, and follow-up phone calls were made on Tuesday, April 23, 2013.

You're Invited to Participate!

Stakeholder Involvement Group (SIG) Meeting # 2

At our last meeting on January 30, 2013, the SIG took part in a workshop that identified transportation issues/concerns and project objectives of the communities in the study area. As a result of the workshop discussion, the project Problem Statement was drafted, and is available for review. It summarizes the issues/concerns, states important aspects of the study area as identified by project stakeholders, and provides focus with Alternatives Development and Evaluation.

At the second SIG meeting we will discuss the results of the Issues and Concerns / Goals and Objectives Workshop, review the [Draft Problem Statement](#) and take part in an Alternatives Development Workshop.

Thursday, April 25, 2013
Buffalo Grove Village Hall
50 Raupp Boulevard
Buffalo Grove, IL 60089
6:00pm - 8:00pm

Meeting Topics:

- [SIG Summary 1](#)
- What is a Problem Statement and how will it be used?
- Alternatives Development Overview
- Alternatives Evaluation Workshop
- Next Steps

LDCOT has identified you as a key stakeholder for this project. Please let this serve as an invitation to participate as a member of the SIG and attend the second SIG meeting. You are welcome to appoint a designee to represent you or your organization. We encourage your participation and look forward to working with you.

Copyright (C) 2013 LDCOT. All rights reserved. Division of Transportation
800 W. Winchester St., Libertyville, IL 60048
www.ltdcot.com/IL

Click icon to Review the Draft Problem Statement

Please provide comments and feedback under the Information Center/Contact Us tab on the website
www.aaptakasic.com
by April 18, 2013.

Please RSVP your attendance by
Thursday, April 18, 2013
Lily Escarpita of Images, Inc. (630) 810-0944
lily_escarpita@imagesinc.net



APTAKISIC ROAD

Stakeholder Involvement Group Meeting # 2 Summary April 25, 2013

Project Team Attendance

- Chuck Gleason, Lake County Division of Transportation
- Emily Karry, Lake County Division of Transportation
- Darrell Kuntz, Lake County Division of Transportation
- Mike Matkovic, Christopher B. Burke Engineering
- Matt Huffman, Christopher B. Burke Engineering
- Emily Anderson, Christopher B. Burke Engineering
- Carrie Hansen, Images, Inc.
- Lily Escarpita, Images, Inc.

Stakeholder Involvement Group (SIG) Member Attendance

- Darren Monico – Village of Buffalo Grove, Engineer
- Mike Turson – Village of Buffalo Grove, Trustee
- David Lothspeich – Village of Long Grove, Manager
- Mike Skibbe – Village of Buffalo Grove, Director of Public Works
- Beverly Sussman – Village of Buffalo Grove, Trustee
- Marty Sussman – Commission for Residents with Disabilities
- Dane Bragg – Village of Buffalo Grove, Manager
- Jeffrey S. Braiman – Village of Buffalo Grove, President

Problem Statement

The goal of this project is to provide an improved transportation system for Aptakistic Road from Buffalo Grove Road to IL Route 83 for current and projected future travel conditions. Improvements to this route are needed to reduce congestion during peak travel periods, improve and protect access to adjacent properties, and provide safe and improved pedestrian and bicycle accommodations and connectivity.

It is important that solutions to these transportation deficiencies avoid or minimize impacts to the environment, and preserve quality of life including minimizing adjacent property impacts and fitting the context of the existing land use. The solutions should also consider the effect of the IL Route 83 intersection and traffic calming measures where practical.



APTAKISIC ROAD

Stakeholder Involvement Group Meeting # 2 Summary April 25, 2013

Problem Statement elements used in the alternatives evaluation workshop:

- Reduce congestion during peak travel conditions
- Improve and protect access to/from adjacent properties
- Provide safe and improved pedestrian and bicycle accommodation and connectivity
- Minimize impacts to environment
- Preserve quality of life
 - Minimize adjacent property impacts
 - Fit context of existing land use
- Effect of IL Route 83 intersection
- Traffic calming measures

Workshop: Alternatives Evaluation

3 - Lane Alternative		
	Pros	Cons
Reduce Congestion	-Improves existing congestion	-Unknown of IDOT improvements (region)
Improve and Protect Access	-No access points eliminated -Improves access (left turns)	
Safe and Improved Bike/Ped	-Coordinated Improvements (Buffalo Grove and Long Grove)	
Minimize Environmental Impacts	-More efficient travel -Amount of impervious surface minimized	-More impervious surface
Preserve Quality of Life	-Improve access -Minimize ROW acquisition -Improved provision of emergency services	-Requires additional ROW



APTAKISIC ROAD

Stakeholder Involvement Group Meeting # 2 Summary April 25, 2013

Route 83 Intersection	-If IL 83 is not improved, 3 lanes are sufficient	-Uncertainty of future IDOT commitment -Does not account for future improvement
Traffic Calming Measures	-Less pavement/fewer lanes discourages higher speeds -Use of curb and gutter	-No median treatments in 3-lane section

5 - Lane Alternative

	Pros	Cons
Reduce Congestion	-Future IL 83 improvement – coordinated -Addresses future projections	-Unknown of IDOT improvements (region)
Improve and Protect Access	-Benefits improvements to access turning movements (lefts) -No access points eliminated -Improved provisions for emergency vehicle access	
Safe and Improved Bike/Ped	- Allows for coordination of facilities in Buffalo Grove/Long Grove	
Minimize Environmental Impacts	-More efficient travel	-More impervious surface -Closer to existing uses, structure
Preserve Quality of Life	-Improves access	-ROW acquisitions -Brings improvement closer to existing uses, structures
Route 83 Intersection	-Accounts for future improvements	-IDOT commitment to future improvements
Traffic Calming Measures	-Use of two lanes -Curb and gutter	-Potentially encourages higher speeds



APTAKISIC ROAD

Stakeholder Involvement Group Meeting # 2 Summary April 25, 2013

Next Steps

Following the second SIG meeting, the project team will continue to coordinate with IDOT and FHWA, and compare and refine alternatives incorporating SIG input. The project team will also begin planning for the next SIG and Public Meeting. These meetings are anticipated to take place Summer 2013.